

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
MIDLAND DIVISION

SCOT CARLEY,  
on behalf of himself and all others  
similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

vs.

CREST PUMPING TECHNOLOGIES, L.L.C.

Defendant.

CIVIL ACTION NO: 7:15-cv-161

**COMPLAINT**

Plaintiff Scot Carley, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, for his Complaint against Crest Pumping Technologies, L.L.C. alleges as follows:

**SUMMARY**

1. Crest Pumping Technologies, L.L.C. (hereinafter “Defendant”) required and/or permitted Scot Carley (hereinafter “Plaintiff”) and other similarly situated employees to work in excess of forty hours per week at their facilities but refused to compensate them properly for such hours.

2. Defendant’s conduct is in violation of the Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA), which requires employers to compensate non-exempt employees for their overtime work. See, 29 U.S.C. § 207(a).

3. Plaintiff Scot Carley and other similarly situated employees are FLSA non-exempt workers who have been denied overtime pay required by law, for which they now seek recovery.

### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

4. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action under 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.

5. Venue is proper in the Western District of Texas because Defendant engages in business here.

### **THE PARTIES**

6. Plaintiff Scot Carley is a resident of Midland, Texas. Mr. Carley's written consent to this action is attached as Exhibit "A."

7. Opt-in plaintiff Brandon Brown is a resident of Breckenridge, Texas. Mr. Brown's written consent to this action is attached as Exhibit "B."

8. The Class Members are Defendant's salary paid cementers employed at any time during the three year period immediately preceding the filing of this suit.

9. Defendant Crest Pumping Technologies, L.L.C. is a limited liability company with its principle place of business in Houston, Texas. Service of process may be had on Defendant through its registered agent: CT Corporation System at 1999 Bryan Street, Suite 900, Dallas, Texas, 75201.

### **COVERAGE**

10. Defendant is an enterprise that engages in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce.

11. Defendant acted, either directly or indirectly, in the interest of an employer with respect to Plaintiff and Class Members.

12. Accordingly, Defendant is both a covered "enterprise" and an "employer" under the FLSA.

13. Defendant has had, and continues to have, an annual gross income of sales made or business done of not less than \$500,000.

#### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

14. Defendant provides downhole cementing, acidizing, and pump down services to customers in the oil and gas industry.

15. Plaintiff and the Class Members are or were employed by Defendant as cementers.

16. Plaintiff and Class Members did not receive overtime compensation for hours exceeding forty in a workweek.

17. Defendant paid Plaintiff and Class Members a salary plus a non-discretionary job bonus.

18. Defendant has improperly classified Plaintiff and Class Members as exempt for FLSA purposes.

#### **CLASS ALLEGATIONS**

19. Plaintiff has actual knowledge that other Class Members have been denied overtime pay for hours worked over forty hours in a workweek.

20. Although Defendant has suffered, permitted, and/ or required Plaintiff and Class Members to work in excess of forty hours per week, Defendant has denied them full compensation for their hours worked over forty.

21. Plaintiff and Class Members perform or have performed the same or similar work.

22. Class Members are similar to Plaintiff in terms of pay structures and the denial of overtime pay.

23. Defendant's failure to pay overtime compensation at the rates required by the FLSA results from a single decision, policy or plan and does not depend on the personal circumstances of the Class Members.

24. Plaintiff's experience is typical of the experiences of the Class Members.

25. The specific job titles or precise job responsibilities of each Class Member do not prevent collective treatment.

26. All Class Members, irrespective of their particular job requirements, are entitled to overtime compensation for hours worked in excess of forty during a workweek.

27. Although the issue of damages may be individual in character, there remains a common nucleus of liability facts.

28. The class of similarly situated plaintiffs is properly defined as follows:

**All persons employed by Defendant as salary paid cementers for the three years preceding the filing of this suit.**

#### **CAUSES OF ACTION**

29. Plaintiff incorporates all allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs.

30. At all relevant times Plaintiff and Class Members have been entitled to the rights, protections, and benefits provided under the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201, et seq.

31. Defendant's failure to pay overtime compensation to Plaintiff and Class Members violates the FLSA.

32. Accordingly, Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to compensation for overtime hours worked.

33. Additionally, Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to an amount equal to their unpaid overtime wages as liquidated damages, as well as reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of this action as provided by 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

34. Defendant has acted neither in good faith nor with reasonable grounds to believe that their actions and omissions were not a violation of the FLSA, and as a result, Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to recover an award of liquidated damages in an amount equal to the amount of unpaid minimum wages described pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

35. Alternatively, should the Court find Defendant acted in good faith and that they had reasonable grounds to believe that their actions and omissions were not a violation of the FLSA, Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to an award of prejudgment interest at the applicable legal rate.

36. Plaintiff and the Class Members are entitled to have the limitations period extended to three years because Defendant's actions were willful. 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

#### **PRAYER**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests judgment be entered in his favor awarding him and Class Members:

- A. overtime compensation for all unpaid hours worked in excess of forty hours at the rate of one and one half times their regular rates, including non-discretionary job bonuses;
- B. an equal amount as liquidated damages as allowed under the FLSA;
- C. damages accrued for a three year period;

- D. reasonable attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses of this action as provided by the FLSA;
- E. pre-judgment and post judgment interest at the highest rates allowed by law; and
- F. such other relief as to which Plaintiff and Class Members may be entitled.

Respectfully Submitted:

THE YOUNG LAW FIRM, P.C.  
Jeremi K. Young, State Bar No. 24013793  
Rachael Rustmann, State Bar No. 24073653  
1001 S. Harrison, Suite 200  
Amarillo, Texas 79101  
(806) 331.1800  
Fax: (806) 398.9095  
jyoung@youngfirm.com  
rachael@youngfirm.com

By: /s/ Jeremi K. Young  
Jeremi K. Young

*Attorneys for Plaintiff & Class Members*